

**Arizona Supreme Court**  
**Civil Petition for Review - Juvenile**

**CV-24-0025-PR**

**IN RE DEPENDENCY OF M.R.**

**Appellate Case Information**

Case Filed: 8-Feb-2024  
 Case Closed:

**Dept/Composition**

**Side 1. GELLIANA R., MARCELLA R., RICHARD R., Appellant**  
 (Litigant Group) GELLIANA R., MARCELLA R., RICHARD R.

- Gelliana R. PRO SE
- Marcella R. PRO SE
- Richard R. PRO SE

**Side 2. DEPARTMENT OF CHILD SAFETY, Appellee**  
 (Litigant Group) DEPARTMENT OF CHILD SAFETY

- Department of Child Safety

**Attorneys for: Appellee**  
 Dawn Rachelle Williams, Esq. (AZ Bar No. 20730)

**CASE STATUS**

Feb 8, 2024.....Pending

PREDECESSOR CASE (S)	Cause/Charge/Class	Judgment/Sentence	Judge, Role <Comments>	Trial	Dispo
2 CA	2 CA-JV 23-0134				
↳ PIN	JD201700116		Delia Reeves Neal, Judge on PC Comments: (none)		

**3 PROCEEDING ENTRIES**

1. 8-Feb-2024 FILED: Request for Oral Argument (Treated as a Petition for Review); Certificate of Service; Certificate of Compliance; Appendix (Appellants Gelliana R., et al., Pro Se)
2. 12-Feb-2024 FILED: Record from CofA: Link to Electronic Record
3. 13-Mar-2024 The Clerk of the Supreme Court having been authorized by the Supreme Court to order any party to file a response to a petition for review at the direction of a Supreme Court staff attorney,  
  
IT IS ORDERED that no response to the petition for review is necessary. The Court will consider the petition for review in due course. (Tracie K. Lindeman, Clerk)